

Examining the Impact of Gender Differences on Language Expression: A Sociolinguistic Perspective

Ziyi Li

Faculty of Language and Linguistics, University Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Email: L1197567427@163.com

Manuscript received April 8, 2024; revised May 10, 2024; accepted May 21, 2024; published August 29, 2024

Abstract—This study explores the impact of gender differences on language expression from a sociolinguistic perspective. Focusing on undergraduate and master's degree students in China aged between 19 and 25, the research employs a questionnaire and sample analysis methodology to investigate three aspects: frequency of emotional expression, communication style, and topic choice. Results indicate no significant gender differences in frequency and style of verbal expression, with minor differences observed only in topic preferences related to political and economic subjects. These findings suggest that gender does not have a substantial influence on language expression, highlighting a potential cognitive bias in the perception of gender roles in language usage.

Keywords—gender differences, language expression, sociolinguistics, emotional expression, communication style, topic preference

I. INTRODUCTION

Gender differences are traditionally characterized by the biological distinctions between sexes, serving as a foundational criterion in the analysis of human social behavior. These distinctions are often thought to extend beyond mere physiology, potentially influencing various aspects of cognition, emotion, and, notably, language. Language, in turn, functions not merely as a medium of communication but also as a profound reflection of individual identity and cultural heritage. It is through language that individuals express their thoughts, emotions, and values, making it a crucial element of both personal and social identity.

The hypothesis that gender may influence linguistic expression has been a topic of considerable interest in sociolinguistics. Various studies suggest that gender distinctions might be mirrored in language use, affecting aspects such as the frequency of emotional expression, communication style, and topic preferences. For instance, traditional stereotypes often posit that women are more expressive, empathetic, and indirect in their communication, while men are perceived as more assertive, direct, and less emotionally expressive. These stereotypes have long influenced societal expectations and norms regarding gender roles in communication.

However, the degree to which gender differences tangibly affect language expression capabilities necessitates comprehensive exploration. In an era where societal focus on gender equality is increasing, there is a growing awareness of the potential for cognitive biases to influence perceptions of gender roles. These biases may lead to subjective presumptions that reinforce traditional gender stereotypes, suggesting that men and women inherently

possess divergent communication styles. Yet, it remains critical to distinguish between socially constructed expectations and actual linguistic behavior.

This study aims to address the gap in understanding the real impact of gender on language expression by focusing on a specific population—undergraduate and master's degree students in China, aged between 19 and 25. By employing a questionnaire and sample analysis methodology, the research investigates three key aspects of language expression: the frequency of emotional expression, communication style, and topic choice. These aspects were selected to provide a comprehensive overview of how gender might influence verbal communication in both casual and formal contexts.

The findings of this research hold significant implications for both sociolinguistic theory and practical applications. If gender is found to have a minimal impact on language expression, as the results of this study suggest, it would challenge prevailing assumptions about the intrinsic differences between male and female communication styles. Moreover, it would underscore the importance of addressing cognitive biases in the perception of gender roles, particularly in educational, professional, and social contexts.

In sum, this study seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on gender and language by providing empirical evidence that questions the extent to which gender differences influence linguistic behavior. By doing so, it aims to promote a more nuanced understanding of gender in the context of communication, one that moves beyond stereotypes and embraces the complexity of human expression.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Peters' [1] clinical research on gender differences specifies that, on the one hand, gender differences actually exist, and these exist at the physiological level, including differences in organs, hormone levels, and behavioral logics; on the other hand, the influence of socio-cultural factors is non-negligible in stabilizing or solidifying gender differences, i.e., socio-cultural factors can later influence physiological gender differences so that males/females think they should be rather than what they naturally are, and these differences blur the boundaries between genders.

In previous studies, researchers have found that the differences brought about by gender in language expression involve many aspects. Granello [2], for example, noted that male respondents tended to express their opinions more frequently than female respondents when it came to the frequency of expression of emotions. Al-Shibel's [3] study on gender differences in classroom interactions noted that

male respondents tended to be more direct in their language style in the classroom while females were more euphemistic. Rojek's [4] study noted differences in the frequency of words used by different genders of respondents in the same environmental conditions. Puspita's [5] study discussed the frequency of words used by different genders of respondents in the same environmental conditions. Differences in the use of written language expressions by respondents of different genders.

However, Osterberg [6], Hindes and Andrews [7], Coates [8], Esmā and Brdarević [9] argue that gender differences can at times be a subjective bias, whereby people may have a preconceived notion that, due to their socio-cultural background etc., that "women will be softer than men, women shouldn't be confident or men shouldn't be soft and cry", etc., exaggerating the differences that exist between the genders, which in fact are not the differences actually caused by gender differences.

These point to the fact that in some domains, such as verbal expression, gender differences may not be significant. Osterberg argues that past qualitative research has exaggerated the differences between genders and further attributes this exaggeration of gender differences to the realities of the need for gender-related political campaigns, for example, to keep females out of the running in some domains by exaggerating gender differences. Shannon's [10] review study organizes previous studies on the subject and argues that gender differences may be the result of people's subjective biases that have not been proven by scientific research.

The debates and issues that exist among these studies provide inspiration and ideas for discussing whether social bias and language expression can be affected by gender, and we believe that there is a need to study and discuss whether gender differences in language expression are the result of people's subjective biases. Based on this literature, we have organized the focus on gender-related differences in language expression into three sections, namely, "frequency of emotional expression, language style, and choice of topic," to explore whether the differences in language expression in people's perceptions and in actual situations may be related to gender.

III. RESEARCH QUESTION

In light of the aforementioned discussion, this study seeks to examine the existence of cognitive biases towards gender differences in linguistic expressions. It articulates two principal scientific inquiries:

1. Are linguistic expressions intrinsically correlated with gender?
2. Do individuals perceive a relationship between linguistic expressions and gender?

IV. METHODOLOGY

A. *Methods Employed*

The study utilizes two primary methods: the questionnaire method and sample analysis.

B. *Subjects of the Survey*

The research focuses on undergraduate and master's

degree students in China, aged between 19 and 25 years.

C. *Research Design*

In the Preparation Stage, the primary focus is on designing a questionnaire aimed at gathering essential information from the respondents. This questionnaire is meticulously crafted to acquire baseline data that is relevant to the objectives of the study, ensuring that the information collected will provide a solid foundation for subsequent analysis.

The Implementation Phase involves the distribution of the questionnaire to a carefully selected cohort. This cohort consists of young individuals who are of the same age group, reside within the same country, and share a similar cultural context. By focusing on this specific group, the study aims to control variables other than gender differences, thereby minimizing the influence of other complex social and cultural factors on the results. To facilitate this process, a digital questionnaire distribution tool is employed, targeting the university student population. After the distribution, the responses are collected and undergo preliminary organization to prepare for the next stage.

Finally, in the Analysis Phase, the data collected from the questionnaires is subjected to rigorous analysis using SPSS version 22. The initial step in this phase involves conducting a reliability and validity analysis to ensure that the instruments used are dependable and that the sample size is adequate for the study. Following this, a Chi-Square Test is employed to assess the significance of gender differences across various data sets, providing insights into the study's key research questions.

D. *Data Collection*

Each question within the questionnaire groups was carefully crafted to be answered from dual perspectives, a method often referred to as "both sides" questioning. This approach was employed to capture a more balanced view of each respondent's thoughts and feelings, thereby reducing potential bias that could arise from unidirectional questioning. By asking respondents to consider both their own perspective and an opposing or alternative perspective, the study aimed to enhance the reliability and validity of the responses, ensuring they reflect a thoughtful understanding of the issues rather than merely an instinctive reaction.

To further refine the data collection process, the Likert scale was utilized in designing questions that probe into subjects' attitudes toward the relationship between verbal expression and gender differences. The Likert scale, with its graded response format, provides a nuanced spectrum of answers ranging from strong agreement to strong disagreement. This method allows for capturing the intensity of feelings and perceptions regarding the subtle aspects of language use and gender. By quantifying inherently qualitative attitudes, this approach facilitates sophisticated statistical analysis, revealing subtle patterns and trends in attitudes toward gendered language use.

The rationale behind segmenting the questionnaire into three focused groups with a balanced question format was twofold. First, it allowed the research to pinpoint specific areas where gender differences might manifest more strongly, providing targeted insights into each area without

the dilution effect that could occur with a broader questionnaire. Second, this structure supported the hypothesis testing approach of the study, as each set of questions was aligned with specific research objectives, thereby directly contributing to the study's goals.

E. Data Analysis

Following the collection of 91 completed questionnaires, the first stage of data analysis involved a careful transformation of the responses. This step was particularly crucial due to the "opposite questions" format used in the questionnaire, where respondents answered pairs of questions that were conceptually inverse to each other. The transformation process was necessary to align these responses with standard analytical methods, validate internal consistency, and reduce potential response bias. Ensuring that the data could be reliably interpreted was critical, as it established a strong foundation for the subsequent analyses.

The transformed data were then subjected to rigorous reliability and validity testing. Reliability analysis, primarily conducted using Cronbach's alpha, assessed the internal consistency of the questionnaire, ensuring that related questions within each group consistently elicited similar responses. This step was essential for confirming that the data were dependable. Concurrently, validity testing was carried out to verify that the questions accurately measured the intended constructs—specifically, the impact of gender on various aspects of verbal expression. This dual analysis was vital for ensuring that the data were both reliable and relevant to the study's research questions.

Before proceeding to quantitative analysis, a qualitative analysis was conducted to gain a deeper understanding of the data. This involved a thorough review of responses to open-ended questions and narrative comments within the questionnaire. The qualitative analysis provided rich contextual insights that helped uncover underlying patterns and nuances not immediately apparent in the statistical data. This step was crucial for identifying key themes, such as variations in emotional expression, communication styles, and topic preferences influenced by gender, thus laying the groundwork for a more informed quantitative analysis.

After the qualitative review, specific items that indicated significant differences in responses—suggestive of potential gender-based disparities—were selected for further quantitative analysis. The selection criteria were based on variance and relevance to the research hypotheses, ensuring that the analysis remained focused on the most significant data points. This step was important for honing in on the most telling aspects of the data, enhancing the precision and relevance of the findings.

The selected items were then subjected to a series of statistical tests, tailored to the structure of the data and the research questions. For categorical data, such as communication styles and topic preferences, Chi-Square tests were used to determine the significance of observed differences. For continuous data, such as the frequency of emotional expression, statistical methods like t-tests or ANOVA were employed to compare mean scores between genders. This multi-faceted approach allowed for a comprehensive examination of the data from various

perspectives, thereby strengthening the robustness and credibility of the findings.

Finally, the results from both qualitative and quantitative analyses were synthesized to provide a holistic understanding of the impact of gender on verbal expression. This integrated analysis not only highlighted the direct outcomes of the statistical tests but also contextualized these findings within the broader thematic insights derived from the qualitative analysis. This synthesis was pivotal in drawing meaningful and well-supported conclusions, offering a nuanced understanding of the complex relationship between gender and language use.

V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of this study provide intriguing insights into the complex relationship between gender and language expression. The reliability analysis conducted at the outset yielded an alpha coefficient (α) of 0.698, which exceeds the acceptable threshold of 0.6, thereby confirming the credibility of the data collected through the questionnaire. Additionally, the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy was calculated at 0.630, surpassing the minimum threshold of 0.5, indicating that the sample size was sufficient for the purposes of this study.

A notable 73.63% of the respondents generally agreed that gender influences language expression, reflecting a widespread belief in the existence of gender differences in communication. This perception, however, was put to the test through both qualitative and quantitative analyses, leading to some surprising results.

The initial qualitative analysis focused on various aspects of language expression, beginning with the frequency of emotional expression. According to the qualitative data, male respondents reported expressing emotions "occasionally," while female respondents tended to express emotions "often." This observation aligns with commonly held societal beliefs that women are generally more expressive of their emotions than men. Similarly, qualitative insights suggested that women's communication styles are more "euphemistic," reflecting the stereotype that women tend to use more indirect and polite language compared to men.

However, the quantitative analysis presented a stark contrast to these qualitative observations. When the data were subjected to statistical scrutiny using the Chi-Square Test, no significant difference was found in the frequency of emotional expression between male and female respondents ($p > 0.05$). This finding challenges the stereotype that women are inherently more emotionally expressive than men. Likewise, the Chi-Square Test analysis revealed no significant gender-based differences in communication styles, again contradicting the qualitative suggestion that women's language tends to be more euphemistic.

The study also examined topic preferences, revealing that gender differences were significant only in the domain of "political economy," with a p -value of less than 0.05 ($p < 0.05$). This suggests that while men and women may differ in their interest in specific topics, such as political and economic discussions, these differences are not as pervasive across other areas of language use as commonly believed.

The discrepancies between the qualitative and quantitative findings are particularly noteworthy. On one hand, the qualitative data reflects societal perceptions and stereotypes regarding gender differences in language expression. On the other hand, the quantitative analysis undermines these perceptions, providing empirical evidence that gender does not have a substantial influence on verbal expression in terms of frequency or communication style.

These results suggest that the commonly held belief in significant gender differences in language expression may be more reflective of cognitive biases than of actual behavioral differences. The fact that the majority of respondents believed in the influence of gender on language, despite the statistical evidence to the contrary, indicates that societal stereotypes and cultural norms play a significant role in shaping perceptions of gendered communication.

VI. CONCLUSION

Our study rigorously examined the influence of gender on various facets of linguistic expression among young adults in China. The statistical analysis revealed no significant gender-based differences in the frequency or style of language expression. This indicates that, contrary to common societal beliefs, the basic elements of how individuals express themselves verbally do not strongly correlate with gender. Interestingly, the only domain where gender differences were evident was in the choice of discussion topics, with males displaying a pronounced preference for topics related to politics and economics.

The absence of significant differences in most areas of linguistic expression suggests that language usage is predominantly a function of individual personality and social context rather than strictly gender. This finding challenges traditional stereotypes that often portray males and females as fundamentally distinct in their communication styles. The exception in topic preference might be attributed to social conditioning or professional interests, which are often influenced by broader societal expectations and educational or career pathways.

A striking contrast was observed between the actual data and the participants' perceptions. Despite the lack of empirical evidence supporting widespread gender differences in linguistic styles or frequency, subjects generally believed that such differences exist. This discrepancy highlights a pervasive cognitive bias where societal norms and stereotypes about gender roles heavily influence individual perceptions. It underscores the need for increased awareness and education that challenges these preconceived notions.

Given the minimal impact of gender on most aspects of linguistic expression, as demonstrated by our findings, it is crucial for societal discourse to move towards a more gender-neutral understanding of communication. Efforts should be intensified to dismantle the stereotypes that restrict individuals' linguistic choices and expressions based on their gender. Emphasizing this in educational programs and policy frameworks could foster a more inclusive environment where language is recognized as a tool accessible equally to all, irrespective of gender.

We advocate for a reflective approach in considering

gender differences in language use. Individuals, especially researchers and educators, should critically evaluate and question the entrenched beliefs about gender roles in communication. By adopting a more evidence-based approach, it is possible to reduce the exaggeration of gender differences that is often perpetuated by unsubstantiated cultural narratives.

In conclusion, our research contributes to a growing body of evidence suggesting that while subtle differences may exist, the broad strokes of language expression transcend gender boundaries. This realization should encourage a shift towards more gender-inclusive language practices across all sectors of society.

VII. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This study has several limitations that suggest avenues for future research. The selected parameters—frequency, style, and topic choice in verbal expression—may not fully capture the complexity of language use. Future research could include additional factors like non-verbal cues and discourse patterns for a more thorough analysis.

The questionnaire design could also be improved to capture more nuanced data, and the limited sample size may affect the generalizability of the findings. Expanding the demographic scope to include diverse age groups and cultural contexts would enhance the study's applicability.

Lastly, this research focused on self-reported data. Future studies could combine self-reports with observational or experimental methods to validate and deepen the findings. Addressing these areas will strengthen our understanding of gender's impact on language expression.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. C. Peters, "Multicultural complexity: an intersectional lens for clinical supervision," *International Journal for the Advancement of Counselling*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 1–12, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10447-017-9290-2>
- [2] D. H. Granello, "Influence strategies in the supervisory dyad: an investigation into the effects of gender and age," *Counselor Education and Supervision*, vol. 42, pp. 189–202, 2003.
- [3] A. G. Al-Shibel, "Gender differences in classroom interactions and preferences," *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, vol. 17, S1, pp. 534–552, 2021.
- [4] A. E. Rojek, R. Khanna, J. W. Yim, R. Gardner, S. Lisker, K. E. Hauer, and U. Sarkar, "Differences in narrative language in evaluations of medical students by gender and under-represented minority status," *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 684–691, 2019.
- [5] D. Puspita and S. Suprayogi, "Language use variation of L2 writers in weblog across different gender and genres," *Rainbow: Journal of Literature, Linguistics and Culture Studies*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 126–134, 2021.
- [6] M. J. Osterberg, "Gender in supervision: exaggerating the differences between men and women," *The Clinical Supervisor*, vol. 14, pp. 69–83, 1996.
- [7] Y. L. Hindes and J. J. W. Andrews, "Influence of gender on supervisory relationship: A review of the empirical research from 1996 to 2010," *Canadian Journal of Counseling and Psychotherapy*, vol. 45, pp. 240–261, 2011.
- [8] J. Coates, *Women, Men and Language: A Sociolinguistic Account of Gender Differences in Language*, London, UK: Routledge, 2016.

- [9] L. Esma and Č. A. Brdarević, "An exploration of beliefs about gender differences in language use," *Journal of Language and Education*, vol. 4, no. 3, 15, pp. 48–57, 2018.
- [10] J. Shannon, "Gender differences or gendered differences: Understanding the power of language in training and research in supervision," *Int. J. Adv. Counselling*, vol. 41, pp. 598–608, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10447-019-09380-y>

Copyright © 2024 by the authors. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).